UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,883	09/15/2006	Siegfried Ansorge	P29678	4705
	7590 12/08/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			SZNAIDMAN, MARCOS L	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/575,883	ANSORGE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MARCOS SZNAIDMAN	1612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
• •	VIQ SET TO EVOIDE 4 MONTH	(S) OD THIDTY (20) DAVS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 C</u>	October 2009.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>112-131</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>124-131</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>112-123</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot receive				
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

This is office action is in response to applicant's request for continued examination filed on October 23, 2009.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Status of Claims

Amendment of claim 112 is acknowledged.

Claims 112-131 are currently pending and are the subject of this Office Action.

Claims 124-131 were withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a nonelected invention in the reply filed on July 23, 2009.

Claims 112-123 are presently under examination.

Priority

The present application is a 371 of PCT/EP04/11645 filed on 10/15/2004.

Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) prior to declaration of an interference, a certified English translation of the

Art Unit: 1612

foreign application must be submitted in reply to this action. 37 CFR 41.154(b) and 41.202(e).

Failure to provide a certified translation may result in no benefit being accorded for the non-English application.

Restrictions/Elections

Since the generic formula of claim 112 is so broad, and in order to expedite prosecution, a new restriction/election requirement is issued before examination can be further prosecuted.

New Restriction for claims 112-123

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

This application contains claims 112-123 directed to patentably distinct inventions, <u>NOT species</u>; wherein each Invention corresponds to each one of the specifically claimed core compounds encompassed by formula I:

Application/Control Number: 10/575,883

Art Unit: 1612

These different core compounds lack unity of invention because the core compounds have no substantial structural similarities although they have a common utility. *In re Harnisch*, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300 (CCPA 1980); and *Ex parte Hozumi*, 3 USPQ2d 1059 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1984). For example, the following core structures which are encompassed by the formula I above are structurally different:

Or

Or

Thus, applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the invention, i.e. a more narrow and focus core structure, like for

Application/Control Number: 10/575,883 Page 5

Art Unit: 1612

example the ones depicted above, consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. The definitions for the general structure I in claim 1 are so broad that the overall structures encompassed by these substituents are so enormous and so diverse that they belong to different inventions, as shown above. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

In summary, applicant should elect ONE CORE like for example the ones depicted above or any other CORE consistent with general formula I of claim 1.

Applicant should note that selection of ONE COMMON CORE is for RESTRICTION purposes. Once a common core has been elected, applicant will be further required to elect one specific chemical compound having that core.

The inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature of each one of the above inventions relates to a different core structure and/or a different group of diseases. These inventions, as there is no technical relationship involving the same or a common technical feature, cannot be recognized as being linked with each other so as to form a single general inventive concept.

New Elections for claims 112-123

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: once an invention corresponding to a specific CORE structure was elected, applicant is further required to elect a single disclosed species within that core. Specifically, applicant is required to define each ring substituent with a particular species (a species definition like methyl, not a genus definition like alkyl). Electing a compound that is not specifically disclosed as filed may be considered new matter.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they are structurally

Art Unit: 1612

different compounds, which depending on the substituents could belong to different classes and sub-classes and require different search queries.

Inventorship Notice

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARCOS SZNAIDMAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick F. Krass can be reached on 571 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,883 Page 8

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MARCOS SZNAIDMAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612 December 3, 2009. /Gollamudi S. Kishore/ Primary Examiner, AU 1612